

DT05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 DEC 2004

PATENT

#3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 02-415-A1)

In the Application of:)	
)	
Maillard and John)	
)	Examiner: not assigned
Serial No. TBA)	
International Application No. PCT/US03/18283)	
)	
Filed: December 13, 2004)	Art Unit: TBA
International Filing Date: June 11, 2003)	
)	
For: Methods of Treating Alzheimer's Disease Using)	
Aromatically Substituted ω -Amino-Alkanoic)	
Acid Amides and Alkanoic Acid Diamides)	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This prior art statement is filed under 37 C.F.R. §§1.97-1.98 in compliance with the duty of disclosure set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

In the judgment of the undersigned, the references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 may be material to the Examiner's consideration of the presently pending claims. However, the references have not been reviewed in sufficient detail to make any other representation and, in particular, no representation is intended as to the relative relevance between references, whether cited in this statement or prior statements. This statement is not a representation that the listed references have effective dates early enough to be "prior art" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §102.

U.S. Documents

1. U.S. Patent No. 5,559,111 issued September 24, 1996.
2. U.S. Patent No. 5,641,778 issued June 24, 1997.

Foreign Documents

3. WO 01/706722 A2, published September 27, 2001.

Also, enclosed is a copy of the European Search Report in which all of the above-listed references were cited during the prosecution of a corresponding PCT application.

In accordance with MPEP Sections 609 and 707.05(b), it is requested the document cited (including any cited in applicant's specification which is not repeated on the attached Form PTO-1449) be given thorough consideration and that it be cited of record in the prosecution history of the present application by initialing on Form PTO-1449. Such initialing is requested even if the Examiner does not consider a cited document to be sufficiently pertinent to use in a rejection, or otherwise does not consider it to be prior art for any reason, or even if the Examiner does not believe that the guidelines for citation have been fully complied with. This is requested so that each document becomes listed on the face of the patent issuing on the present application.


Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 913-0001

Dated:

December 13, 2004

By:


Steven J. Sarussi
Reg. No. 32,784

FORM PTO-1449 (Rev. 2-32) U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)	Atty. Docket No. 02-415-A1	Serial No. TBA
	Applicant: Maillard and John	
	Filing Date: December 13, 2004	Group: TBA

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
	1	5,559,111	09/24/1996	Goschke et al.			
	2	5,641,778	06/24/1997	Maibaum et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
							Yes	No
	3	WO 01/70672	09/27/2001	PCT				

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc).

--	--	--

EXAMINER /Sriram Kasturi/	DATE CONSIDERED 07/03/2008
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.